

that helps you to predict any of (57)

the other  $I_j$ .

given  $\theta$ , the  $I_i$  are indep.

Thus the  $I_i$  are

unconditionally dependent but

conditionally independent given  $\theta$ .

(4 Aug 17)

Bayes's  
Theorem  
for events  
(a finite partition)

Suppose that the events  
 $B_1, \dots, B_k$  partition the

sample space in such a way that

$P(B_j) > 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . If  $A$

is an event with  $P(A) > 0$ , then for

each

$i = 1, \dots, k$

$$P(B_i | A) = \frac{P(B_i) P(A | B_i)}{P(A)}$$

and, by the LTP, this is

(58)

$$P(B_i | A) = \frac{P(B_i) \cdot P(A | B_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^k P(B_j) \cdot P(A | B_j)}$$

How this theorem is used in Bayesian

statistics,

The  $B_i$  represent unknown

states of the world: they're all

possible —  $P(B_i) > 0$  — and only one

of them is true, but you don't know

which one.  $(A)$  represents data:

information that will help you identify

the most probable  $B_i$ .

(59)  
Before <sup>(a priori)</sup> the dataset  $A$  arrives,  
you have background information about  
the plausibility of the  $B_i$  that you  
can represent with prior probabilities

$P(B_i)$ . After <sup>(a posteriori)</sup> the dataset  $A$

arrives, you can use Bayes's Theorem  
to update your prior probabilities  
to posterior probabilities  $P(B_i | A)$ .

The probabilities  $P(A | B_i)$  represent  
how likely the dataset  $A$  would be  
if  $B_i$  were the actual unknown state;  
this is often called likelihood information.

(the denominator)  
 $P(A)$  does not depend on the  $B_i$ ,  
and can therefore be regarded as a  
normalizing constant, put into

Bayes's Theorem to make all the  
 $P(B_i | A)$  add up to 1. Thus

$$P(B_i | A) = \frac{P(B_i) P(A | B_i)}{P(A)}$$

is interpreted as

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{posterior} \\ \text{information} \end{array} \right) = \frac{\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{prior} \\ \text{information} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{likelihood} \\ \text{information} \end{array} \right)}{\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{normalizing} \\ \text{constant} \end{array} \right)}$$

# Random variables and their distributions (61)

Example: Tay-Sachs Disease

T = T-s baby  
N = not

(S) 2

NNNNN	0
TNNNN	1
NTNNN	
NNTNN	
NNNTN	
NNNNT	
TTNNN	2
TNTNN	
TNNTN	
TNNNT	
NTTNN	
NTNTN	
NTNNT	
NNTTN	
NNTNT	
NNNTT	
⋮	⋮
TTTTT	5

← # of T-s babies =  $\mathcal{I}$

Given a sample Definition

Space  $\mathcal{S}$  for an experiment  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  
 a (real-valued) random variable  
 (RV) is a function from the  
 non-void collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of  
 subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$  to the real  
 number line  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In the T-s case study, the  
 elements  $s$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  look like  
 NNNNTN and the RV  $\mathcal{I}$   
 counts how many Ts they contain.

For instance,  $\mathcal{I}(TNNTN) = 2$  and  $\textcircled{62}$   
 $\mathcal{I}(NNNTT) = 2$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{I}$  ignores  
the order of the children).

We can  
use the following notation to simplify things.

Notation  $P(\mathcal{I} = y) \stackrel{\text{I/F proposition}}{=} P(\{s: \mathcal{I}(s) = y\})$   
← set →

For example,  $P(\mathcal{I} = 1) = P(\{s \in \mathcal{S}: \mathcal{I}(s) = 1\})$   
 $= P(\{TNNTN, NTNN, NNTNN, NNNNT, NNNNT\})$ .

In general the values  
a random variable takes  
on could be just about anything, but  
in this course all of our rvs will

be real-valued

(7 Aug 17)

In the T-S case study  
the rv  $\mathcal{I}$  can only take  
on the values  $0, 1, \dots, 5$ .